

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/588,463	08/23/2006	Stefan Vogelin	07-2114-C	5486	
20396 7559 92162910 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BOSWORTH, KAMI A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/16/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/588,463	VOGELIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KAMI A. BOSWORTH	3767	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

IHE	REPLY FILED <u>02 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request

application in consider for all officers (x) a voluce on Appeal (with appeal new) in compliance with 3 x C+R 41.3.1; or (3) a resfor Confinued Examination (RCE) in compliatione with 3 x CFR 1.14. The reply must be filled within one of the following time periods: 0 M The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

<u>AMENDMENTS</u>

3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	
(a) ✓ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional plains without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims	

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

non-allowable claim(s).

7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to: ___.

Claim(s) objected to: ____. Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-27 and 29-43</u>.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______ 13.

Other:

/Kevin C Sirmons/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/K. A. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 18, 41 and 42 raise new considerations and elemental/operational functions and therefore change the scope of the applicant's claims and would require further additional search and consideration. Arguments directed to these amendments are therefore moot.

Furthermore, it is note that independent claim 43 has not been amended; instead Applicant argues that Guala does not teach that the diaphragm (that which is comprised of webs 12 portion 11^a and penings 14) and the jacket (10) are unitary. However, as can be explicitly seen in Figure 2 and disclosed in lines 34-39 of column 4 of Guala, these two components comprise the unitary valve body 9°. Therefore, this argument is not persuasive, Applicant also argues that Okawa does not teach a 1-shaped opening; however, as can be seen in Figure C of the Final Office Action (which is an annotated version of Figure 3b of Okawa), the opening comprises a 1-shape with a foot and a bar extending transversely over one another. Therefore, this argument is not persuasive.